

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,792	08/16/2002	Sanjoy Kumar Chowdhury	125792-1	4090
23413	7590 02/10/2004	•	EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1714	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

 \mathcal{O}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 4.
Application No. Applicant(s)	
10/064,792 CHOWDHURY ET AL	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Kriellion A. Sanders 1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	tion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-15</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	8
8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	,
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) . 6) Other:	_•

Application/Control Number: 10/064,792

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a compound, classified in class 558, subclass 44.
 - II. Claims 7 -15, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass 158.
 - III. Claims 16-45, drawn to a method of making a compound, classified in class 558, subclass 44.
 - IV. Claims 46-47, drawn to a method of making a composition, classified in class524, subclass 89+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a reactant in polymer synthesis and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/064,792

Art Unit: 1714

examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions I and III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products may be made by a materially different process such as for inventions I and III, by formation of a single solvent solution of reactants. The inventions of Group IV as it relates to restriction of Groups II and IV could be made by a materially different process such as admixing the aromatic sulfonate compound with the thermoplastic resin during polymerization of the resin.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Peter Haggerty on 2/3/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 7-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-6 and 8-47 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/064,792

Art Unit: 1714

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The formula depicted in claim 7 is difficult to read. This is particularly so for the substituent groups in the central portion of the formula, because they are so small. Y_1 is described as being halogen, bromine and chlorine. Specifying bromine and chlorine is redundant.

No anticipatory art has been found. Applicant is reminded of his duty to disclose any art he finds to be relevant to the examination of this application.

Prior art cited on form 892 provides technological background information.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2351.

Art Unit: 1714

Kriellion A. Sanders **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1714

ks

February 4, 2004